(1) what is the length of the road as aligned from Chelakkara to Vaniampara;

(2) of which how many miles have been constructed;

(3) why was the work not pushed on;

(4) why is the road from Chelakkara to Karakkadavu proposed to be taken up this year in preference to that road;

(5) when will the Chelakkara-Vaniampara road be taken up and completed?

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—(1) The road in question leads only up to Elnad. Its length is 7½ miles.

(2) Two and one-fourth miles.

(3) Want of funds.

(4) This is a different work which was considered necessary.

(5) As funds become available.

Certain Panchayat works.

23. Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they are aware that the masonry work in Muringoth Eri in Nelluvaya Group Panchayat and in the well in Vannamadi in Eruthempathi Panchayat have given way;

(b) whether the Panchayat works are being executed under supervising officers?

Mr. T. S. Sesha Ayyar.—(a) Yes; a portion of the masonry work of Vannamadi tank alone collapsed during the heavy rains of 1106 while that of Muringoth Eri tank is intact.

(b) Yes.

Maintenance of Nelliampathi Ghat Road.

24. Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) whether it is a fact that the maintenance of Nelliampathi Ghat road for 1930 was given to Mr. Davis at the estimated rates;

(2) who were the other tenderers and what were their tender reductions;

(3) were they contractors under the P. W. D.;

(4) are they still having contract under the P. W. D?

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—(1) No. Mr. N.O.Inasu was the 1930 contractor.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(2) The question does not arise in view of the above answer.
(3) Do.
(4) Do.

Chittur Municipality.

25. Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—Will the Government be pleased to state with reference to the proceedings of the meeting of the Chittur-Tattamangalam Municipal Council published on Part II, page 11 of the Cochin Government Gazette of 27th Chingam 1107.—

(a) whether their attention has been called to the resolution II;
(b) what is the width of the lane now existing;
(c) when was the land for the same acquired;
(d) is this lane not for scavenging purposes alone;
(e) how many houses are served by this lane;
(f) is the lane situate in a congested locality, why this proposal for widening;

(g) whose is the house that is served at the blind end of the lane?

Mr. T. S. Sesha Ayyar—(a) Yes.
(b) 10 links.
(c) In 1092.
(d) Yes.
(e) 8 houses are intended to be conserved by this lane.
(f) No; the proposal for widening the lane is in the interests of sanitation and proper drainage.
(g) Mr. C. S. Ramachandra Ayyar's.

26. Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—With reference to resolution XXVIII,

(a) how many tenders were there and what were their tender reductions for the construction of the Chittur-Tattamangalam Municipal Office building;
(b) why preference was given to the tender of the petitioner;
(c) (1) what was the date of the tender and (2) what the dates of acceptance by the Municipality and (3) of sanction by the Government;
(d) for what month is the lead charge for water claimed in the petition;
(e) what unforeseen circumstances did happen between the tendering and beginning of the work that did justify this claim for lead charges;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly